

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/658,491	09/08/2003	Peter Nash	93485	2025
	7590 12/22/2006 . HAMPIIN & KEIIV P	Δ	EXAM	INER
WESTMAN CHAMPLIN & KELLY, P.A. SUITE 1400			HUYNH, PHUONG N	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	AVENUE SOUTH IS, MN 55402-3319		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1644	<u></u>
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/22/2006	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Application No. Applicant(s) **Advisory Action** 10/658,491 NASH ET AL.

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
		1644					
	Phuong Huynh						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED <u>03 November 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS							
1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) $\boxtimes$ The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ctension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complishing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
3. \times The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause				
<ul> <li>(a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further contains.</li> </ul>			·				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		, ,					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	116 and 41.33(a)).	•					
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).							
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s							
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>							
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: <u>None</u> .			•				
Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12,18-29 and 36-42</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 13-17 and 30-35.							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	ut before or on the date of filing a N id sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	et door NOT place the application is	a condition for allows	noo baaayaa.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	at does NOT place the application if	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
•		•					
•							

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment "...either Streptococus bovis or Lactobacillus spp...that have been cultured to stimulate adherence antigens for either Streptococcus bovis or Lactobacillus spp" to claims 1, 18, 36, and 40 raise new issues that require further consideration and search.

CHRISTINA CHAN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600